IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please amend the paragraph at page 42, lines 4-15, as follows:

Dth is, for example, $\frac{(k+1)(k+\ell)}{(k+\ell)}$. Here k is such a number that the product of N(1), ..., N(k) is not less than the total number of user IDs (identification information items) $(N(1) \le N(2) \le ... \le N(M))$, and [[1]] ℓ is given by the following formula (1):

$$[1-\Pi 1/N(i)]^{S} \ge 1 - \epsilon_{2}$$
 (1)

where the range of i that assumes Π is i=1 ~ 1 Π is i=1 $\sim \ell$ or $\frac{i=k+1}{2}$ $\sim (k+1)$ $\frac{i=k+1}{2}$ $\sim (k+\ell)$, and

 ϵ 2 represents the rate of error tracing in each user ID of the people responsible for collusive attacks, and satisfies $0 < \epsilon_2 < 1$.

Please amend the paragraph beginning at page 52, line 27, to page 53, line 1, as follows:

Assume that $M = c \cdot (k + 1) \cdot M = c \cdot (k + \ell)$, C is a narrow sense [M, k, M-k+1]_q Reed-Solomon code.

Please amend the paragraph at page 53, lines 2-11, as follows:

If the following formula (2) is satisfied, the Reed-Solomon code C can be made to be a stochastic outer code:

$$\frac{[1-1/q^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{S}}{\text{where } S = M^{C_{k+1}}} \stackrel{\underline{S} = M^{C_{k+\ell}}}{\underline{S} = M^{C_{k+\ell}}}$$
(2)

q = N(1) = N(2) = ... = N(M),

and ϵ represents the rate of error tracing in each user ID (identification information) of the people responsible for collusive attacks, and is a real number that satisfies 0 < ϵ < 1.

Please amend the paragraph at page 53, lines 12-17, as follows:

In this case, the above-described tracing algorithm example as a stochastic method is applicable. In the tracing algorithm example as a stochastic method, [[1]] ℓ included in the formula, $\frac{Dth = k + 1}{Dth} = \frac{k + \ell}{\ell}$, may be given by, for example, formula (2) instead of formula (1).